

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| ALOFT MEDIA, LLC, | § | |
| | § | |
| Plaintiff, | § | Civil Action No. 2:08-cv-292 |
| | § | |
| v. | § | |
| | § | |
| PALM, INC., <i>et al.</i>, | § | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |
| | § | |
| | § | |

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

This is an action for patent infringement in which Plaintiff Aloft Media, LLC complains against Palm, Inc. (“Palm”), Nokia, Inc. and Nokia Corporation (collectively “Nokia”), Research in Motion, Ltd. and Research in Motion Corporation (collectively “RIM”), Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB and Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. (collectively “Sony Ericsson”), Motorola, Inc., (“Motorola”) and AT&T, Inc. (“AT&T”) (collectively “Defendants”) as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Aloft Media, LLC is a Texas limited liability company with its principal place of business at 211 West Tyler Street Suite C-1, Longview, TX 75601.

2. On information and belief, Defendant Palm, Inc. (“Palm”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 950 W. Maude Ave., Sunnyvale, California 94085. Defendant Palm may be served with process by serving its registered agent Corporation Service Company d/b/a CSC – Lawyers Incorporating Service, P.O. Box 526036, Sacramento, California 95852.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Nokia Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 6000 Connection Drive, Irving, Texas 75039. Nokia, Inc. may be served with process by serving its registered agent National Registered Agents, Inc. at 16055 Space Center, Suite 235, Houston, Texas 77062.

4. On information and belief, Defendant Nokia Corporation is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of Finland, with its principal place of business at Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-00045 Espoo, Finland. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, service upon Defendant Nokia Corporation is proper through the means authorized by the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents, *opened for signature* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 36 1, UNTS 163 ("Hague Convention"). In accordance with Articles 3 and 5 of the Hague Convention, Defendant Nokia Corporation can be served by forwarding a properly formatted request, summons and complaint to the Central Authority of Finland, whose address is Ministry of Justice, P.O. Box 25, FIN-00023 Government, Finland. Pursuant to Article 5(a) of the Hague Convention, Defendant Nokia Corporation can be served by the Central Authority for Finland in the method prescribed by the internal laws of Finland for the service of documents in domestic actions upon persons who are within its territory.

5. On information and belief, Defendant Research In Motion Ltd. is a foreign corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada, with its principal place of business at 295 Phillip Street, Waterloo, ON N2L 3W8, Canada. Defendant Research In Motion Ltd. may be served by International Registered Mail.

6. On information and belief, Defendant Research in Motion Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal

place of business at 122 W. John Carpenter Pkwy., Ste. 430, Irving, Texas 75039. Defendant Research in Motion Corporation may be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System at 350 North St. Paul St., Dallas, Texas 75201.

7. On information and belief, Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB is a corporation organized under the laws of the country of Sweden, with its principal place of business at Nya Vattentornet, Lund, Sweden SE-221 88. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, service upon Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB is proper through the means authorized by the Hague Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents, *opened for signature* Nov. 15, 1965, 20 U.S.T. 36 1, UNTS 163 ("Hague Convention"). In accordance with Articles 3 and 5 of the Hague Convention, Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB can be served by forwarding a properly formatted request, summons and complaint to the Central Authority of Sweden, whose address is Utrikes Departmentet, Juridiska Byran, Box 16121, S-103 23 Stockholm 16, Sweden. Pursuant to Article 5(a) of the Hague Convention, Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications AB can be served by the Central Authority for Sweden in the method prescribed by the internal laws of Sweden for the service of documents in domestic actions upon persons who are within its territory.

8. On information and belief, Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 7001 Development Drive, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709. Defendant Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. may be served with process by serving its registered agent, National Registered Agents Inc. at 120 Penmarc Drive, Suite 118, Raleigh, North Carolina 27603.

9. On information and belief, Defendant Motorola is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located in Schaumburg, Illinois. Motorola may be served with process by serving its registered agent CT Corp. System at 208 LaSalle Street, Suite 814, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant AT&T is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 175 E. Houston St., San Antonio, Texas 78205. AT&T may be served with process through its Registered Agent, CT Corporation Systems, 350 North St. Paul Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

12. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, each Defendant has transacted business in this district and has committed and/or induced and/or contributed to acts of patent infringement in this district.

13. On information and belief, Defendants are subject to this Court's specific and general personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to their substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in Texas and in this Judicial District.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

14. Aloft Media is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. 7,305,625 (“the ‘625 patent”) entitled “Data Networking System and Method for Interfacing a User.” The ‘625 patent issued on December 4, 2007. A true and correct copy of the ‘625 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

15. On information and belief, Palm has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the ‘625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Palm’s infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation the Palm Treo 700p. Palm is thus liable for infringement of the ‘625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

16. On information and belief, Nokia has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the ‘625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Nokia’s infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation the Nokia models N95 and E90. Nokia is thus liable for infringement of the ‘625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

17. On information and belief, RIM has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the ‘625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. RIM’s infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation the Blackberry models 8820 and 8320. RIM is thus liable for infringement of the ‘625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

18. On information and belief, Sony Ericsson has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the '625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Sony Ericsson's infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation Sony Ericsson model P1i. Sony Ericsson is thus liable for infringement of the '625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

19. On information and belief, Motorola has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the '625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. Motorola's infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation the Motorola model MOTOQ. Motorola is thus liable for infringement of the '625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

20. On information and belief, AT&T has been and now is directly infringing, and/or inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others of the '625 patent in the State of Texas, in this judicial district, and elsewhere in the United States. AT&T's infringements include, among other things, making, using, offering for sale, and/or selling communication devices, including without limitation the AT&T Tilt model. AT&T is thus liable for infringement of the '625 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

21. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, such marking requirements have been complied with.

22. As a result of Defendants' infringement of the '625 patent, Aloft Media has suffered monetary damages that are compensable under 35 U.S.C. § 284 adequate to compensate it for the infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, and will continue to suffer

such monetary damages in the future unless Defendants' infringing activities are permanently enjoined by this Court

23. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, affiliates, and all other acting on their behalf from infringing the '625 patent, Aloft Media will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

24. This case presents exceptional circumstances within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and Aloft Media is thus entitled to an award of its reasonable attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Aloft Media requests that this Court enter:

A. A judgment in favor of Aloft Media that Defendants have directly, and/or by way of inducing infringement by others, and/or contributing to the infringement by others, infringed the '625 patent, and that such infringement was willful;

B. A permanent injunction, enjoining Defendants and their officers, directors, agents, servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in concert or privity with them from such infringement of the '625 patent;

C. A judgment and order requiring Defendants to pay Aloft Media its damages, costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendants' infringement of the '625 patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Aloft Media its reasonable attorneys' fees; and

E. Any and all other relief to which the Court may deem Aloft Media entitled.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Aloft Media, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: July 29, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



Eric M. Albritton
Texas Bar No. 00790215
ALBRITTON LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 2649
Longview, Texas 75606
Telephone: (903) 757-8449
Facsimile: (903) 758-7397
ema@emafirm.com

Thomas John Ward, Jr.
Texas Bar No. 00794818
WARD & SMITH LAW FIRM
P O Box 1231
Longview, TX 75606-1231
Telephone: (903) 757-6400
Facsimile: (903) 757-2323
jw@jwfirm.com

Danny L. Williams
Texas Bar No. 21518050
Christopher N. Cravey
Texas Bar No. 24034398
Matthew R. Rodgers
Texas Bar No. 24041802
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
10333 Richmond, Suite 1100
Houston, Texas 77042
Telephone: (713)934-4060
Facsimile: (713) 934-7011
danny@wmalaw.com
ccravey@wmalaw.com
mrodgers@wmalaw.com

Scott E. Stevens
State Bar No. 00792024
Kyle J. Nelson
State Bar No. 24056031
STEVNS LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 807
Longview, Texas 75606
Tel: 903-753-6760
Fax: 903-753-6761
scott@seslawfirm.com
kjn@seslawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Aloft Media, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this motion was served on all counsel who are deemed to have consented to electronic service. Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d) and Local Rule CV-5(d) and (e), all other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by email and/or fax, on this the 29th day of July, 2008.



Eric M. Albritton